

## Track Changes Key

■ Initial proposed text   ■ Post public comment text



# HOW THIS PLAN WORKS

## Who this Plan is For

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* applies to the 525-square-mile FMPO planning area. It extends from Bellemont to Winona and from Kachina Village and Mountainaire to north of the San Francisco Peaks. The Plan serves as the general plan for the City of Flagstaff, and in the county areas works in conjunction with the Coconino County Comprehensive Plan and other community area plans. This Plan is for the people that live here, and the businesses that employ here. This Plan is for the visitors, prospective businesses, elected officials, City and County departments, the development community, interest groups, and resource agencies. This Plan is for the present and future generations.

## How this Plan is Used

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is used for decision making so that Flagstaff City government is accountable for publicly derived policy outcomes and goals. It also provides the basis for policies and regulations to guide physical and economic development within the Flagstaff region. The Plan will be used as a guide, or roadmap, for the future of the City and the region, and it establishes priorities for public action and direction for complementary private decisions, thus striving to establish predictability in the decision-making process.

General plans are not static documents; they recognize growth as a dynamic process, which may require revisions to the plan as circumstances or changes warrant. **This Chapter works in conjunction with Flagstaff City Code, Title 11, Chapter 11-10 (General Plans), to establish the process for how to amend the Plan.**

### Inside this Chapter:

Who this Plan is For	III-1
How this Plan is Used	III-1
The Planning Process	III-2
Flagstaff's Planning History	III-4
Implementing the Flagstaff Regional Plan	III-4
City of Flagstaff	III-4
Coconino County	III-7
Relationship to Other	
Planning Documents	III-7
Keeping the Plan Current	III-X
Amendments and Development	
Review Processes	III-X
Amendments to Goals and Policies	
and Maps 21, 22, and 24	III-X
Area and Place Type Guidelines	III-X
Minor Amendments to	
Other Maps and Plan Content	III-X
Specific Plan Amendments	
to the Regional Plan	III-X
Major Plan Amendments Chart	III-X
Comprehensive Updates	
and New Elements	III-X



Photo by: Brittney Proctor

Connected chapter  
to City code

# The Planning Process

## Why Do We Plan?

We plan in order to guide growth and development in a way that allows our region to remain an outstanding area in which to live. We also plan so that we may build and pay for larger projects that benefit our whole community, present and future. This Plan presents a comprehensive vision for the future of the area, and provides guidance as to how that vision can become a reality.

## Why Do We Have a Regional Plan?


The Growing Smarter Statutes adopted by the State Legislature in 1998 and 2000 require that all municipalities and counties adopt general or comprehensive plans, and that these plans be updated every 10 years. However, the principal reason to have a plan is to make informed choices about our future. The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* contains goals and policies that provide guidance for making choices about public investment and for setting priorities.

## A Regional Focus

The City and surrounding communities all have unique identities and characters, but as a whole, the greater Flagstaff area functions as a unified community. Residents of the outlying neighborhoods and tribal

lands work and shop in the city, attend the schools, and use the services and medical facilities that are largely located within the City. The City and the County do address capital improvements differently; however, economic and environmental issues such as water and air quality, forest protection, and open space do not adhere to political boundaries. As such, the City and County chose to partner on the Plan even though they were not legally required to do so.

Creation of *A Vision for our Community: Flagstaff 2020* was the first step in bringing the City and County together, which was continued through the 2001 Regional Land Use and Transportation Plan (RLUTP) and enhanced in this *Flagstaff Regional Plan*.



## How We Got Here

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is the guiding policy document for the City of Flagstaff as required by state law. It is important that the Plan was created as a collaboration of Flagstaff citizens, public officials, and staff members, using an open planning process. A 19-member Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) was appointed by the Flagstaff City Council and Coconino County Board of Supervisors. The CAC met monthly or bimonthly for over four years to develop the vision, guiding principles, and goals and policies for each of the topics covered by this Plan. In addition, a Steering Committee ~~composed~~ **comprised** of two Councilpersons and two Supervisors met quarterly to keep the process on track and make sure the public participation plan was effective. A core planning team of City and County staff also met regularly throughout the process to provide support to the CAC, draft sections of the Plan, and carry out all aspects of public participation. Hundreds of City and County residents provided important comments through open houses and focus groups, provided comments on the web site, blogs, and participated in surveys, all of which were crucial in defining the Plan's direction.

## Creating a Plan that Works

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is a living, working plan that relies on the disciplined and artful execution of three activities. First, the analysis of local conditions and historical trends, larger trends, our community vision, and best practices was learned from other communities. Second, the information gathered for those inputs was incorporated in a planning process that recognized the high level of economic, social, and environmental uncertainty we currently face. Third, the Plan must communicate transparently how those inputs were utilized and why the final plan decisions were chosen over other alternatives.



## Flagstaff's Planning History

Moved Flagstaff's Planning History box from page III-11 to III-4 and removed title "Flagstaff's Planning History" from within the box.

1945 – The City of Flagstaff's Planning and Zoning Commission is established

1957 – A Workable Program is established as a prerequisite to any city redevelopment activity and includes a 20-year physical growth plan

1959 – The *City of Flagstaff Metropolitan Plan* is published

1964 – Coconino County adopts its first zoning ordinance and subdivision ordinance

1965 – Flagstaff General Plan is created

1969 – The Flagstaff City Council adopts a General Plan for the Year 1985 as a guide to the development of the Flagstaff planning area

1974 – The *Coconino County General Plan 1990* is adopted as the County's first comprehensive plan

1975 – The City's 1969 General Plan is revised and renamed the 1990 General Plan

1986 – The Flagstaff City Council adopts the *Growth Management Guide 2000* as a ~~the City's first comprehensive physical plan for the City's growth and the central frame of reference for all other city plans that included goals, open space, FUTS and alternate transportation in a way that reflected citywide input. The Guide was the foundation for all other City plans and future general plans~~

1990 – The *Coconino County Comprehensive Plan* is adopted, differing from its 1974 predecessor by including goals and policies for future growth and development

1997 – *A Vision for our Community: Flagstaff 2020* is developed through a visioning process involving more than 5,000 community members in interviews, focus groups, and surveys designed to elicit a common vision for Flagstaff's future in the year 2020

1998 – The *Flagstaff Area Open Spaces and Greenways Plan* is published "to provide guidance in protecting and preserving existing open spaces with the demands of urban growth"

2001 – The *Flagstaff Area Regional Land Use and Transportation Plan (RLUTP)* is developed as a cooperative effort by the City of Flagstaff and Coconino County, based on the 2020 visioning process, as a resource plan created to guide future land use decisions in the City of Flagstaff and surrounding areas

2003 – The *Coconino County Comprehensive Plan* is updated in response to the state's Growing Smarter Act of 1998 and Growing Smarter Plus Act of 2000, requiring counties to update their comprehensive plans prior to December 31, 2003

SOURCES: "A Short History of Planning and the Future in

Updated per public comments

## Implementing the Flagstaff Regional Plan


The relationship between the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* and such implementation tools as master plans, the Zoning Code, and other regulations is illustrated below; the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* establishes the vision for the future growth and development of Flagstaff and its surrounding area through goals and policies. City-adopted master plans and County area plans, City and County Zoning Codes, and other City codes, on the other hand, implement the goals and policies of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* by providing standards, regulations, and tools for land development.

### City of Flagstaff

#### Who Implements the Regional Plan?

Most importantly, the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is used in the regulatory decision-making process by the City Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council, and City staff. The Commission and the Council are responsible for making development decisions such as zoning map amendments or annexations, approval of which depends on whether the proposed changes or projects are consistent with the Plan's goals and policies. When reviewing development proposals,

Replaced subtitle Implementation by Decision-Making with "Who Implements the Regional Plan?"



City staff, the Planning and Zoning Commission, and the City Council will review applicable goals and policies to determine whether a proposed development is consistent with the Plan. The Future Growth Illustrations (Maps 21 and 22) and the text of the Plan will provide supplemental information for the interpretation of goals and policies. In case of any conflict between the Future Growth Illustration and the Plan's goals and policies, the goals and policies will prevail. The Plan is also used to guide decisions related to the expansion of public infrastructure, for example, the building or improvement of new roads and trails, investment in parks or public buildings, and other facilities. Many initiatives to improve the community start at the grassroots level. Thus, the Plan may be used by all citizens in order to ensure that new development conforms to the Plan and for assistance in implementing actions that will further the Plan's vision and direction. Generally, the City will use the Plan as follows:

- City Council**—will use the Plan to **inform a final evaluate development applications and City projects that come before Council and require consideration of the Plan requests for changes in property rights. The Plan is the basis for the finding of conformance and discussions of compatibility decisions for such most** land use **decisions, efforts** including Regional Plan amendments, zoning map amendments, annexations, **discretionary development applications approvals,** and master/specific plans, **such as the City's Open Space Plan.** The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* provides a general background (why/intent), goals and policies (how), and a sense of priorities **for making decisions.** The Plan is **intended to be** broad enough to permit Council priorities to change between major plan updates.
- City Planning and Zoning Commission**—serves in an advisory role to the City Council, and will use the Plan similarly, possibly to provide a clear connection to supporting technical documents to best justify or explain their recommendations.
- City Management (including legal counsel, department, and division heads)**—also serve in an advisory role to the City Council, and will use the Plan to review staff recommendations, assess legal implications (e.g., property acquisition or impact issues), and explain budget and program recommendations (e.g., funding for master planning efforts, regulation



Photo by: Tom Bean

Corrected confusing/ inaccurate language

Updated per public comments

[Proposed text]-  
**City Council**—will use the Plan to evaluate development applications and City projects that come before Council and require consideration of the Plan. **The Plan is the basis for the finding of conformance and discussions of compatibility for such** land use **decisions,** including Regional Plan amendments, zoning map amendments, annexations, **discretionary development applications,** and master/specific plans. The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* provides a general background (why/intent), goals and policies (how), and a sense of priorities **for making decisions.** The Plan is broad enough to permit Council priorities to change between major plan updates.

updates, business attraction efforts, facilities planning).

Clearer wording

- **Public Agency Staff**—will use the Plan to develop and evaluate **development application application-of-regulations-to-development** requests such as Regional Plan amendments, zoning map amendments, subdivision plats, and other requests that require recommendations to management and governing bodies. The Plan will permit staff to clearly communicate to applicants the community expectations and concerns relevant to the property in question, subsequent recommended modifications or conditions for approval, and the reasoning behind them. Further, the Plan will be an essential tool for all City staff when, for example, prioritizing capital improvement projects, pursuing land acquisition, and developing agency budgets.
- **Development Community/Realtors/Prospective Buyers/Land Owners**—will use the Plan to determine the desirability of different development proposals on their properties, advise developers or owners on best available properties suitable to a proposed use or “highest and best use” for a given property, inform on the range of possible uses surrounding a property and their potential impacts on that property, and inform on long-range changes including infrastructure.
- **Interest Groups (e.g., environmental, business, education)**—similar to property owners, interest groups will use the Plan to advocate positions related to proposals **or-applications**, but often on a broader range of policy issues. These groups may use the Plan to advocate for or against new initiatives such as plans, infrastructure investments, educational programs, or business districts.
- **Resource Agencies**—will use the Plan in discussions with the City on resource/agency management plans, joint agreements, and cooperative initiatives.
- **General Public**—requires an accessible Plan that allows them to decide on whether **the Plan it** represents the “right” direction for the region.
- **Future Generations**—will have the full benefits, as well as address the challenges, of this Plan.

### Implementation Through the Development Process How Do We Implement?

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is intended to play a pivotal role in shaping the future of the City. Implementation of the Plan will evolve over time with new budgets, capital plans, work programs, and changing priorities, but listed below are some practical ways to ensure that future activities are consistent with the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*:

- **Capital Improvement Plans**—The City’s capital improvement plans and long-range utility and transportation plans will be prepared consistent with the Flagstaff Regional Plan’s land use policies and infrastructure recommendations (water, sewer, stormwater, transportation, and parks/recreation). Major new improvements that are not reflected in the Flagstaff Regional Plan, and which could dramatically affect the Plan’s recommendations, should be preceded by a comprehensive update to the Plan.
- **Development Approvals**—The approvals process for development proposals, including zoning map amendments and subdivision plats, are an important implementation tool of the Plan. The City of Flagstaff’s Zoning Code ([Flagstaff City Code](#), Title 10) and the Subdivision Regulations (Title 11) will be updated in response to regulatory strategies presented in the Plan.
- **Illustrative Plans**—These are plans or maps that depict (illustrates, but does not regulate) the streets, lots, buildings, and general landscaping **for of a** proposed



Photo by: K DeLong

development and redevelopment areas.

- **Master or Specific Plans**—Master plans or specific plans should include a statement(s) describing how the plan implements *Flagstaff Regional Plan* goals and policies, and how it is compatible with the Plan.
- **Economic Incentives**—Economic incentives should carry out *Flagstaff Regional Plan* goals and policies. **Geographic** Areas identified by **specific and** illustrative plans should have higher priorities for incentives and public/private partnerships.
- **Private Development Decisions**—Property owners and developers should consider the strategies and recommendations of the Plan in their own land planning and investment decisions. Public decision-makers will be using the Plan as a guide in their development-related deliberations.
- **Annual Work Programs and Budgets**—The City Council and individual City divisions will use the **recommendations of the** Plan when preparing annual work programs and budgets.
- **Future Interpretations**—The City Council should call upon the City Planning Director and Planning and Zoning Commission to provide interpretation of major items that are unclear or are not fully addressed in the Plan. In formulating an interpretation, the Planning Director and Commission may call upon outside experts and other groups for advice. Minor items that require interpretation should be handled by the appropriate agency as it implements the Plan.
- **Staff Reports**—When preparing reports to the City Council and City Commissions, staff reports should identify if and how the Plan’s goals and policies are being implemented.

## Coconino County

For areas outside the City of Flagstaff limits, but within the FMPO boundaries, the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* will guide land use decisions in conjunction with the Coconino County Comprehensive Plan and applicable area plans. The goals and policies in the Plan are used by County planning staff, the County Planning and Zoning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors to evaluate development proposals and to determine if such developments are appropriate for the unincorporated areas of the FMPO region. The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is consistent with and complementary to the Coconino County Comprehensive Plan and the local community area plans in the region. These plans are decision-making tools used by residents, landowners, developers, Coconino County Community Development, Planning and Zoning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors. The Plan also serves as a comprehensive reference and blueprint for community programs as well as for public- and private-sector initiatives.

Moved paragraph  
“Coconino County  
from III-8”

## Relationship to Other Planning Documents

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* incorporates, updates, and builds upon many past planning efforts within the Flagstaff region, and every effort has been made to ensure consistency with these other planning documents and to minimize conflicts.

**Appendix A contains a list of documents that implement, or are related to, the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*.**

Moved sentence from  
bottom of page III-8

### *Flagstaff Pathways 2030 Regional Transportation Plan*

The FMPO adopted the *Flagstaff Pathways 2030 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)* in December 2009 that identifies and prioritizes future transportation investments for roads, public transit, and trails. This plan evaluates the cost and effectiveness of projects for each major travel mode and addresses the relationships between land use, transportation, the economy, and the environment. This document is updated every five years.

## Other Regional Planning Documents

There are two federal management plans in the planning area for Walnut Canyon National Monument and Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument. In addition, the Coconino National Forest has been working to revise its Forest Plan. At the county level, the *Coconino County Comprehensive Plan* adopted in 2003 also applies to the 460 square miles of unincorporated county land within the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* area. In addition, the County has 10 community area plans, of which five are within the area covered by the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*—Bellemont, Fort Valley, Doney Park Timberline-Fernwood, Kachina Village, and Mountaineer. These area plans also have goals and policies specific to each community and four of the five also have design review overlay guidelines which serve to ensure that new commercial buildings are compatible with the character of each community.

### Study Area Plans Specific Plans and Studies for Areas and Corridors

~~Over the past decade, the City of Flagstaff's RLUTP proposed the development of special study area plans to deal with unique community and neighborhood issues, including, for example, the *Southside 2005 Plan* and the *La Plaza Vieja Neighborhood Plan (2011)*. These study area plans were developed in close coordination with local residents.~~

~~This new *Flagstaff Regional Plan* does not supersede these plans. They will remain in effect except for any provisions that may conflict with this new Plan, until such times as the plans are amended or repealed by the City Council.~~

~~The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* attempts to integrate social, economic, aesthetic, and environmental issues described within the study area plans into physical manifestations, demonstrated in illustrative plans that will result in increasingly livable communities. Additional special area plans may also be created and adopted as amendments to the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*.~~

~~Prior to the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*, the City of Flagstaff adopted several specific plans for corridors and areas. The purpose of a specific plan is to provide a greater level of detail for a geographic area or element of the Regional Plan, and to provide for the systematic implementation of the Regional Plan. Specific plans can also be adopted as master plans for development when they accompany a request for rezoning. The development of specific plans is essential for implementation of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* and its vision. These plans are necessary to further determine the nature and scale of activity centers, corridors and neighborhoods, the cross-sections and alignment of future corridors, and the priority of goals and policies in a particular area. For more details about the content and purposes of specific plans, see Flagstaff City Code, Title 11, General Plans, & and Subdivisions. Specific plans can be adopted in a number of ways.~~

~~Specific plans, such as the *The Woodlands Village at Flagstaff Specific Plan*, were adopted by ordinance and provide development standards and phasing of infrastructure for the planned area. The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* cannot supersede specific plans adopted by ordinance, but must be considered if they are amended. When plans adopted by ordinance are updated, the changes made to them will be evaluated for their conformance to the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*. However, the entire document is not required to conform to each and every goal and policy. It is the role of the City Commissions and Council to determine if competing goals and policies have been adequately addressed by a proposed amendment.~~

~~Specific Plans adopted by resolution, such as the *Lone Tree Corridor Specific Plan*, are official City policy providing direction on how to implement the Regional Plan. If the plan was developed prior to May 2014, Only portions of the specific plan that align with the *Regional Plan 2030* are valid, when the plan was adopted by resolution. If the specific plan has a section that conflicts with the new *Flagstaff Regional Plan*, the new Plan supersedes the older specific plan until it is amended or repealed by the City Council.~~

~~Plans that were proposed but not adopted by resolution or ordinance can be used as strategic documents and~~

The original text from page III-11 was deleted and was factually inaccurate. During the comment period, the public identified that the proposed text was too difficult to understand. So the blue text is a simplified version and some details were moved to Appendix A

studies to better understand unique community and neighborhood issues. They reflect the desired future conditions supported by the community unless specifically rejected by the City Council. Rezoning, annexation, and plan amendment requests typically consider these plans and studies, but are not required to demonstrate conformance with them.

Within each specific plan or study, there is language that describes which parts of the documents are aspirational, advisory, strategy, and which are standards and guidelines. Specific Plans need to be read in the context of their status, intent, and conformance with the Regional Plan. Appendix A lists Specific Plans that were adopted or worked on by the City and their status. Some of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan's* policies will need further illustration and evaluation in certain areas to be implemented effectively. New specific plans adopted under the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* can clarify broader policy statements pertaining to an area, activity center or corridor. These plans may further determine the nature and scale of activity centers, the cross-sections and alignment of future corridors, or the priority of goals and policies.

### Special Area Studies

Over the past decade, the City of Flagstaff's RLUTP proposed the development of strategic documents and studies to better understand unique community and neighborhood issues. Some of those studies, such as the *2005 Southside Plan: Strategies for Development*, were completed but not carried forward and adopted as a specific plan. Other studies like the Westside Study were used as the basis for infrastructure projects and for Regional Plan content. Still other studies were completed but the City Council at the time chose not to adopt them as an official City policy.

City staff frequently reviews these studies, when evaluating a development proposal or applications for rezoning, annexations and plan amendments. However, it is important to look at the final action taken by Council on the study to understand how the proposal was adopted (by resolution or ordinance) or why it was not. Rezoning, annexation, and plan amendment requests typically consider these plans and studies, but are not required to demonstrate conformance with them.



Photo by: Tom Bean

Picture moved  
"Annual Plan  
Review and  
Monitoring"  
section from  
page III-7

## Keeping the Plan Current

### Annual Plan Review and Monitoring

The purpose of annual reviews and monitoring is to ensure that **the Plan it** continues to reflect core community values and to evaluate how new developments have been approved in compliance with the Plan. To achieve this, department directors will provide the City Manager and City Council with an annual review of Regional Plan-related activities **prior to the initiation of the budget process each year**. This review will accomplish the following:

### Keeping the Plan Current

The *Flagstaff Regional Plan* is a dynamic document that can be updated, revised, and improved over time to respond to emerging issues, new ideas, and changing conditions. To assess the Plan's effectiveness, the City will need to monitor actions affecting the Plan. As a result of these monitoring efforts or private development requests, the City will need to amend the Plan periodically. The Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council **members** need to consider each proposed amendment carefully to determine whether or not it is consistent with the Plan's goals and policies. In addition, the cumulative effect of many changes may result in a change in policy direction. For this reason, Plan amendments must be evaluated in terms of their significance to overall City policy. A comprehensive summary listing of the goals and policies for the Plan is included at the end of this document, and will serve as a valuable tool to ensure any future changes or amendments are in keeping with the Plan's original vision and intent.

Moved title up

Sidebar moved  
from page  
III-10.

- Measure the City’s success in achieving Plan goals and policies through recommended strategies such as measuring on a per-project basis how sustainability indicators have been achieved
- Identify proposed strategies to be pursued under the coming year’s budget
- Identify unlisted strategies that will achieve Plan goals
- Document growth trends and compare those trends to plan objectives
- List development actions that affect the Plan’s provisions
- Explain difficulties in implementing the Plan
- Review community indicators
- Review outside agencies’ actions affecting the Plan.

Refer to Appendix D, Annual Report Template

### Comprehensive Plan Review

To ensure that the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* remains an effective guide for decision-makers, Flagstaff will conduct comprehensive evaluations of the Plan every 10 years as required by Arizona Revised Statute §9-461.06 and should address the following in addition to any state mandated requirements:

- Progress in implementing the Plan
- Changes in community needs and other conditions that form the basis of the Plan
- Fiscal conditions and the ability to finance public investments recommended by the Plan
- Community support for the Plan goals and policies
- Changes in state or federal laws that affect the City’s tools for Plan implementation
- Changes in land ownership, usage, or development in areas immediately outside of the planning boundary and jurisdiction (such as those that might be implemented on the Navajo Nation to the east and north, or by the Hopi Tribe on parcels it owns, or by Camp Navajo to the west, or in communities such as Parks).

## Amendments and Development Review Processes

The codified processes described below serve as tools for City staff to implement the goals, policies, and strategies of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*. In addition, through public hearings when applicable, these processes provide opportunities for citizens to make recommendations to the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council regarding the goals and policies of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*.

**Annexations** – All proposed annexations will be evaluated for consistency with the goals and policies of this Plan. The proposed annexation should not be detrimental to the majority of the persons or property in the surrounding area or the community in general. The City’s basic position regarding annexation is that the annexation must demonstrate a favorable benefit to the taxpayers of the City. All applications for annexations of real property shall be reviewed, processed, and approved in conformance with Arizona Revised Statute §9-471 et seq. (Annexation of territory, procedures, notice, petitions, access to information, restrictions). Annexations may be initiated by the following:

- City Council or City Manager – The City Council or the City Manager may direct the Planning Director to review a specific property to determine whether it may be legally annexed and to contact property owners to determine whether they will sign an annexation petition.
- Property Owners – One or more property owners may submit an application to the City to annex property.

**Zoning Code Amendments** – In accordance with the City of Flagstaff Zoning Code, Division 10-20.50, an amendment to the zoning map or the text of the Zoning Code may only be approved if:

- The proposed zoning map amendment(s) is consistent with and conforms to the goals and policies of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* and any applicable specific plans.
- If the application is not consistent with and does not conform to the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*, and any other specific plan, the applicable plan must be amended in compliance with the procedures established in the [Flagstaff City Code](#), Title 11, Chapter 11-10 (General Plans), prior to consideration of the proposed amendment(s).



Moved photo from page III-10

Photo by: Tom Bean

### Major and Minor Plan Amendment Procedures

The Regional Plan is a living document and is expected to be amended regularly to keep it current and relevant. There are two types of plan amendments: major and minor. In Arizona, each jurisdiction can determine what changes require a major plan amendment in the General Plan (*Flagstaff Regional Plan 2030*). The procedures for processing plan amendments can be found in the Flagstaff City Code, Title II General Plans, & Subdivisions. Flagstaff City Code may change independent of the Regional Plan and should be referred to details of any related process.

Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) require all major amendments to the Regional Plan to be presented at a single public hearing during the calendar year the proposal is made. The process for major amendment proposals is very specific and deadline driven. Major plan amendments must be processed before an application for rezoning or annexation can be accepted. The process includes public notification, Planning and Zoning Commission review, and a minimum of three public hearings. The proposal is also required to be sent to the Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council, and a review and comment period 60 days prior to public notice. Major amendments to the general plan also require an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the members of the City Council. These requirements may be changed by the City or the State.

A minor amendment to the general plan requires only one public hearing by the Planning and Zoning Commission and one by the City Council. These minor amendment public hearings may be held at any time during the calendar year, and do not require two-thirds vote of the City Council. Minor plan amendments may be processed concurrently with rezoning and annexation applications.

New text added per public comment

**Public Development Projects** – City- and County-sponsored projects and Capital Improvement Programs should be required to adhere to all applicable goals and policies of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan* through project planning and budgeting to ensure funding is available to implement the Plan.

## Amendments to Goals and Policies and Maps 21, 22 and 24

Major plan amendments should evaluate proposals that would substantially alter the balance between the goals and policies of the *Flagstaff Regional Plan*. When a major plan amendment is proposed, it will be evaluated for its conformance to goals and

policies, and systematic impacts that would alter the expected growth scenario that the Regional Plan embodies (See Page II-11 for details). The growth scenarios used a computer model to integrate land use, transportation, and environmental outcomes to a preferred build out scenario that informed the Regional Plan's Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration) and Map 24 (Activity Centers). When a major plan amendment is proposed to these maps, its expected outcome will be compared to the original assumptions of the plan and the systematic impacts of the change. Only those changes listed in the chart as requiring a major plan amendment need such an amendment. All other changes require only a minor plan amendment.

Original draft did not have any explanatory text to explain major and minor plan amendments

A major plan amendment is one that meets any one of the criteria on the chart on Page III-14. Major plan amendment categories one through seven relate to Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration), and Map 24 (Activity Centers). Any changes made to the content of these maps can be carried forward to other maps, using the same features for background, as part of the City's annual update. Major plan amendment category eight only applies to text found in the "Goals and Policies" call out boxes that are located throughout the plan. Deletions, additions or changes to goals and policies in the Regional Plan can only be proposed by the City of Flagstaff.

Any other changes to Maps 21 and 22, (Future Growth Illustration), and Map 24 (Activity Centers) or goals and policies not shown in the Major Plan Amendments Chart are considered minor plan amendments. Minor plan amendment analysis is focused on conformance with the goals and policies of the Regional Plan. Some minor plan amendments may have consequences for how the Plan is implemented, but it is difficult to define them as "major" based on any criteria that could be identified early in the application process. Some examples of minor plan amendments are:

We had significant public comment on what information was helpful in understanding the amendment process and how the Regional Plan's Growth and Land Use Chapter relates to this contentworks

- Changes from urban to suburban, or rural to suburban area types outside of activity centers
- Changes from rural to suburban area type outside of an activity center
- Changes from urban, suburban, and rural area types to employment or special district
- Identifying a new area type for an "Area in White" area in white on Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration)
- Refinement of place types at the parcel level as part of a specific plan
- Wording changes to goals and policies that do not substantially alter their meaning
- Expansion of the Urban Growth Boundary to bring an area with City utility services into compliance or to serve facilities in parks/open space
- Adding or deleting a policy.

## Area and Place Type Guidelines

Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration) and Map 24 (Activity Centers) are generalized representations of area and place types. The following descriptions relate to the content of Chapter IX that describes areas and place types through the maps, goals and policies, and Tables of Characteristics, which give detail on the desired conditions within Urban, Suburban, and Rural Activity Centers, Neighborhoods, and Corridors.

If there are overlapping area types, either type could be used to analyze plan consistency without requiring an amendment to Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration).

Places areas with "future" area types on Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration) that are currently developed to a lower intensity and density that are already developed do not require an amendment if they are compatible with the existing development pattern. to Maps 21 and 22 unless the development application requires a change to the underlying area type. For instance, if an area with a future urban/existing suburban area is proposed for a development that fits the suburban area type according to the table of characteristics, then an amendment is not required. If an area a place has only a future area type and no existing area type, then the application must conform to the future area type or would require an amendment.

Tables of Characteristics for each area and place type are found in Chapter IX: Growth and Land Use. The tables

include information that describes the combined area-place type, such as Suburban Neighborhood, in terms of desired pattern, block size, density and intensity, mix of uses, transportation, open space, and parks. Parks/Open Space, Employment, and Special District area types are not described in the tables but have explanations of similar characteristics described in the text. These tables are intended to be interpreted at a scale that at a minimum is a neighborhood or activity center, and may be larger. Every row is not a standard or guideline unto itself. The tables are meant to be taken as a whole, and used along with an analysis of how the project would or would not move the community towards the goals and policies throughout the document. For projects that are generally compatible with the characteristics in the table but do not fall within the range of density or intensity, the planner will consider the site-specific preservation of nature resources and compatibility of the proposal with the existing and future neighborhood context through an analysis of goals and policies. Specific plans may further refine how density and intensity is considered within an activity center or a neighborhood.

Parcels with more than one area or place type do not have to meet the exact acre of each area type. The lines dividing each area type are general, unless a specific plan has made site-specific interpretations. Parcels with more than one area or place type but must show they meet the intent of what is displayed on Maps 21 and 22 (Future Growth Illustration). For example, a 20-acre parcel with “urban” next to a commercial corridor and “suburban” further away can show that the proposal increases density in the front of the property along the road and scales back without having 10 acres of each and no plan amendment would not be required. If the parcel is along a Great Street or within the pedestrian shed of an activity center, characteristics of the place types must also be demonstrated.

## Minor Amendments to Other Maps and Plan Content

If the Plan changes are the result of a development application that complies with the urban growth boundary, area types, and place types, amendments to other maps in the plan may be completed as part of the City’s annual update of the Regional Plan. Changes or updates to other parts of the Regional Plan will be gathered throughout the year and presented for City Council adoption along with the Regional Plan Annual Report. In these cases, it is not required to have a plan amendment processed along with the development application. For instance, changes to Map 25 (Road Network Illustration) as a result of a subdivision plat may be processed separately from the application, if all the underlying land uses and dedications comply.

If the application requires a change to the urban growth boundary, area or place types, then all amendments to other maps in the Regional Plan should be processed concurrent with the changes to Maps 21, 22, and 24.

## Specific Plan Amendments to the Flagstaff Regional Plan 2030

Specific Plans are processed as a minor amendment but follow the enhanced procedural requirements for public participation and notification required of major plan amendments. If a Specific Plan proposes a change to the Regional Plan related to a major amendment category identified on Page III-14, and the application follows the same notification and public participation requirements of a major plan amendment, the proposal may be exempted from the timeline for submittals and reviews of major plan amendments in Title 11. ~~The hearing for the Specific plan must be at the same meeting as hearings for all other major plan amendments in the calendar year, in this case.~~

Reconsidered this requirement



## Comprehensive Updates and New Elements

Refer to Flagstaff City Code, Title 11, Chapter 11-10 (General Plans), for procedures relating to the addition of a new element to the Regional Plan, or for comprehensive General Plan update requirements.

# Major Plan Amendments Chart

Major Plan Amendment Category	Criteria	
1 Urban growth boundary <sup>1</sup>	Expansion of the urban growth boundary that requires an expansion of public utility infrastructure, except where services are already provided, or for the purpose of designating Parks/Open Space area type.	← New Table
2 Area Type - Employment	Reduction of the employment area type, unless offset by an exchange of acres within the same master planned area.	
3 Area Type - Urban/Rural	Changes from urban to rural or rural to urban area types.	
4 Area Type – Special District	Creation of a new special district, or reduction in the size of a special district.	
5 Area Type – Parks/Open Space	Reduction of the land designated for conservation and active or passive recreation. <sup>2</sup>	
6 Area Types – Urban/Suburban/Rural <sup>1</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In activity centers, changes to area types that reduce the <del>anticipated range of intensity, density, and mix of uses<sup>3</sup> except where done to protect natural or cultural resources.</del> <del>without creating a proportional increase in intensity, density and mix of uses within the activity center.</del></li> <li>- In neighborhoods and along commercial corridors more than ¼ mile from an activity center, changes from suburban to urban area types.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>← Removed condition to simplify</li> <li>← New criteria</li> </ul>
7 Place Type – Activity Centers <sup>1</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Addition or deletion of an activity center</li> <li>- Moving the center of an activity center more than ½ mile from its original location.</li> <li>- Reduction in the category of an activity center (urban to suburban, suburban to rural, or regional to neighborhood) without creating a proportional increase in scale of an activity center elsewhere in the Flagstaff Region.</li> </ul>	
8 Goals and Policies <sup>1,4</sup>	Add or delete a goal or policy in any chapter of the Plan.	← Per public comment

<sup>1</sup> This category excludes changes that are the result of a Specific Plan. Such changes will be processed as minor amendments.

<sup>2</sup> Lands designated for conservation and active and passive recreation are displayed as Parks/Open Space on the Future Growth Illustration. Within the Parks/Open Space area type, public facilities, such as tanks, utilities, roads, and staging areas, may be located, within the Parks/Open Space area type. If these facilities have substantially altered the natural environment or created a brownfield site, removing them from the Parks/Open Space designation may be processed as a minor amendment. Expansion of such facilities does not require a plan amendment.

<sup>3</sup> See tables of Area/Place Type characteristics found in Chapter IX: Growth and Land Use and relevant Specific Plans for the range of density, intensity and mix of uses..

<sup>4</sup> Deletion or addition, of goals and policies to the Regional Plan can only be proposed by the City of Flagstaff.

← correction