MINUTES

WORK SESSION TUESDAY, MAY 27, 2014 COUNCIL CHAMBERS 211 WEST ASPEN AVENUE 6:00 P.M.

WORK SESSION

1. Call to Order

Mayor Nabours called the Flagstaff Work Session of May 27, 2014, to order at 6:10 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Councilmembers present:

COUNCILMEMBER ORAVITS

Councilmembers absent:

MAYOR NABOURS
VICE MAYOR EVANS
COUNCILMEMBER BAROTZ
COUNCILMEMBER BREWSTER
COUNCILMEMBER OVERTON
COUNCILMEMBER WOODSON

Others present: City Manager Kevin Burke; City Attorney Michelle D'Andrea.

3. Preliminary Review of Draft Agenda for the June 3, 2014, City Council Meeting*

*Public comment on draft agenda items may be taken under "Review of Draft Agenda Items" later in the meeting, at the discretion of the Mayor. Citizens wishing to speak on agenda items not specifically called out by the City Council for discussion under the second Review section may submit a speaker card for their items of interest to the recording clerk.

Mr. Burke asked for staff to present on item 9-A.

Senior Procurement Specialist Patrick Brown provided a PowerPoint presentation that covered the following:

- ▶ COMMUNITY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
- ▶ BACKGROUND/HISTORY
- ▶ SCOPE OF WORK (KEY CONSIDERATION)
- ▶ WHAT METHOD WILL BE UTILIZED TO DETERMINE COMMUNITY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CRITICAL NEEDS?
- ▶ WHAT PROCESS WILL BE USED TO IDENTIFY THE MOST EFFECTIVE PARTNERS TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED NEEDS?

- ► HOW WILL IDENTIFIED GAPS IN SERVICE BE FILLED TO ADDRESS UNDERSERVED COMMUNITY NEEDS?
- ▶ CURRENT AND PROPOSED CONTRACT
- ▶ COUNCIL DECISION
- ▶ QUESTIONS?

Vice Mayor Evans declared a conflict of interest and left the dais at 6:14 p.m.

Mayor Nabours stated that it appears that the contract provides the City with little flexibility in the amount but paragraph 8.9 discusses non appropriation; he asked if that language gives the Council the flexibility to add or delete the dollar amount. Ms. D'Andrea explained that the language in paragraph 8.9 is what staff has been relying upon now and in the past. She offered that making that language more clear is something that staff will be looking at over the next week.

Mayor Nabours also requested that Exhibit C include a parenthetical that indicates the amount or any other determined by Council.

Vice Mayor Evans returned to the dais at 6:17 p.m.

4. Public Participation (Non-Agenda Items Only):

Public Participation enables the public to address the council about items that are not on the prepared agenda. Anyone wishing to comment at the meeting is asked to fill out a speaker card and submit it to the recording clerk. When the item comes up on the agenda, your name will be called. You may address the Council up to three times throughout the meeting, including comments made during Public Participation. Please limit your remarks to three minutes per item to allow everyone to have an opportunity to speak. At the discretion of the Chair, ten or more persons present at the meeting and wishing to speak may appoint a representative who may have no more than fifteen minutes to speak.

Emily Davalos addressed Council with concerns about the phone survey she took part in about City roads. She also urged Council not to approve the rezoning of the development proposed at Arrowhead Village.

Charlie Silver addressed Council in favor of a distracted driver ordinance.

General Manager of NAIPTA Jeff Meilback provided a brief update on transportation service to Flagstaff Shelter Services.

Adam Shimoni shared with Council information about a new group he started called Flagstaff Speak Up. It is a group that is focused on creating harmony within the community.

Moran Henn invited Council to attend a big restoration clean up sponsored by Habitat Harmony and Flagstaff Stream Team this Saturday at Foxglenn Trail from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

Mayor Nabours recognized Utilities Director Brad Hill and the staff of the Utilities Department for their work in making top quality water for Flagstaff.

5. Switzer Canyon/Turquoise Intersection Improvements

Community Development Director Mark Landsiedel provided a PowerPoint presentation that covered the following:

- ▶ SWITZER CANYON/TURQUOISE INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS
- ▶ AERIAL

Councilmember Brewster asked how Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) is connected to the City roads. Mr. Landsiedel explained that the funding is funneled from ADOT and they administer the project. Mr. Burke added that the funds are federal but the State administers the grant.

Capital Improvement Project Manager Randy Whitaker continued the presentation.

- ▶ ORIGINAL JPA/IGA
- ▶ PROJECT ASSESSMENT
- ▶ EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY
- ▶ ESTIMATED COSTS

Councilmember Barotz asked about the data that indicates there is a problem. Traffic Engineer Jeff Bauman offered that in 2008 the intersection was identified in the FMPO report as an intersection that is high in crash rates and angle crashes, the consultant at the time indicated that it was a result of insufficient traffic control.

Mayor Nabours asked if this is the best place to spend several million dollars. Mr. Bauman explained that for the benefit cost ratio this is one of the best areas. The study showed that the roundabout alternative was the only option with a benefit higher than the cost. The main goal is to reduce serious crashes; this intersection has a high crash rate and unusual geometry which lead staff to look for alternative solutions.

- ▶ STOP SIGN
- ▶ SIGNAL
- ▶ ROUNDABOUT

Councilmember Brewster asked about the flow of cyclists through the roundabout. Mr. Bauman stated that when there is a multi-lane roundabout there are concerns for pedestrians and cyclists but when there is a single lane roundabout the statistics improve for pedestrians and cyclists.

- ▶ ROUNDABOUT
- ▶ CURRENT & ESTIMATED COST

Mayor Nabours asked if the grant is available for both the signal and roundabout. Mr. Whitaker explained that the signal would not have the benefit cost ratio required for

the funding. If the signal was decided upon, the City would have to go back to ADOT for approval but it is highly improbable that the grant could be changed to allow for a signal as opposed to the roundabout.

▶ CONCLUSION

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with the roundabout at Turquoise and Switzer Canyon.

A break was held from 7:29 p.m. through 7:39 p.m.

6. Discussion on Continued Funding Towards the Western Navajo Pipeline (WNP) & the North Central Arizona Water Supply Feasibility Study (NCAWSFS)

Water Resources Manager Erin Young provided a PowerPoint presentation that covered the following:

- NORTH CENTRAL ARIZONA WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY
- ▶ POLICY QUESTION
- ▶ BACKGROUND
- ▶ 30% PIPELINE DESIGN
- ▶ BACKGROUND (CONT.)
- ▶ FEASIBILITY STUDY STATUS
- ▶ ISSUES FOR CPWAC
- ▶ ISSUES FOR FLAGSTAFF
- ▶ POLICY QUESTION
- ▶ OPTIONS FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION: FLAGSTAFF TO TELL CPWAC THAT...
- **DUESTIONS?**

Mayor Nabours stated that the City does not currently have rights to the water. Ms. Young agreed and stated that at the time of the study it was assumed that the rights would be granted.

Mayor Nabours asked what it would look like if the City contributed only \$190,000. Ms. Young explained that the \$190,000 would take care of everything except the hydraulic engineering which is a very important piece to completing the 30%. Councilmember Overton stated that there is wisdom to getting to the 30%. Knowing where the City is headed and what it might cost is important. He asked for a read on the other partners. Mr. Hill explained that at the last meeting for the WAC it was discussed that the Navajo Nation would likely come up with their funding, the City of Page and the Hopi Tribe partially, and the County is unknown.

Tom Martin addressed Council stating concerns with building a pipeline to water the City has no rights to. He encouraged Council to consider what \$100,000 would do in town for conservation measures.

Mr. Burke stated that the Federal Government takes into consideration the number of people served by the pipeline, without Flagstaff there is a lower ratio of people served; to

some degree having Flagstaff in the mix helps the tribes. Additionally, it is not as if there would be new water rights allocated; the City would be competing for purchasing from someone else which is not a cheap endeavor.

Mayor Nabours suggested sending this item back to the City's water counsel to see if there are any additional ideas on how the City might perfect some right to the water and what the City position would be if there is assignment of the Navajo or Hopi rights. Mr. Burke suggested coming back before Council in July to check in to see if there are any other thoughts.

There was a consensus of Council to postpone this item until July.

7. Review of Draft Agenda Items for the June 3, 2014, City Council Meeting.*

* Public comment on draft agenda items will be taken at this time, at the discretion of the Mayor.

None.

8. Public Participation

None.

9. Informational Items To/From Mayor, Council, and City Manager; request for future agenda items

Mr. Burke reminded Council of the upcoming Joint City/County meeting on Monday at 4:00 p.m. Mayor Nabours suggested deferring public comment to the end of the meeting so that there is ample time to get through the items on the agenda. Vice Mayor Evans stated that one of the items has to do with NAU and student housing and there may be a lot of people present to discuss and if the public comment is deferred to the end it may affect the quality of input. Councilmember Barotz stated that she would like to keep the process the same as in future meetings.

10. Adjournment

The Flagstaff City Council Work Session of May 27, 2014, adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

	MAYOR	
ATTEST:		
CITY CLERK		