

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 2014
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
211 WEST ASPEN AVENUE
4:00 P.M. AND 6:00 P.M.

4:00 P.M. MEETING

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Nabours called the Regular Meeting of the Flagstaff City Council of June 3, 2014, to order at 4:02 p.m.

NOTICE OF OPTION TO RECESS INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the City Council and to the general public that, at this regular meeting, the City Council may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for legal advice and discussion with the City's attorneys for legal advice on any item listed on the following agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3).

NOTE: One or more Councilmembers may be in attendance telephonically or by other technological means.

Present:

Absent:

MAYOR NABOURS
VICE MAYOR EVANS
COUNCILMEMBER BAROTZ
COUNCILMEMBER BREWSTER
COUNCILMEMBER ORAVITS
COUNCILMEMBER OVERTON
COUNCILMEMBER WOODSON

Others present: Kevin Burke, City Manager; Sterling Solomon, Deputy City Attorney.

3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND MISSION STATEMENT**

MISSION STATEMENT

The audience and City Council recited the Pledge of Allegiance and Mayor Nabours read the City's Mission Statement.

The mission of the City of Flagstaff is to protect and enhance the quality of life of its citizens.

4. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

- A. **Consideration and Approval of Minutes:** City Council Special Meeting (Executive Session) of April 29, 2014; the Regular Meeting of May 20, 2014; and the Special Meeting (Executive Session) of May 27, 2014.

Councilmember Woodson moved to approve the minutes of the City Council Special Meeting (Executive Session) of April 29, 2014; the Regular Meeting of May 20, 2014; and the Special Meeting (Executive Session) of May 27, 2014; seconded; passed unanimously.

5. **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Public Participation enables the public to address the Council about an item that is not on the agenda (or is listed under Possible Future Agenda Items). Comments relating to items that are on the agenda will be taken at the time that the item is discussed. If you wish to address the Council at tonight's meeting, please complete a comment card and submit it to the recording clerk as soon as possible. Your name will be called when it is your turn to speak. You may address the Council up to three times throughout the meeting, including comments made during Public Participation. Please limit your remarks to three minutes per item to allow everyone an opportunity to speak. At the discretion of the Chair, ten or more persons present at the meeting and wishing to speak may appoint a representative who may have no more than fifteen minutes to speak.

None

6. **PROCLAMATIONS AND RECOGNITIONS**

None

7. **APPOINTMENTS**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the City Council and to the general public that the City Council may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of discussing or considering employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, dismissal, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a public officer, appointee, or employee of any public body..., pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(1).

None

8. **LIQUOR LICENSE PUBLIC HEARINGS**

None

9. **CONSENT ITEMS**

All matters under Consent Agenda are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by one motion approving the recommendations listed on the agenda. Unless otherwise indicated, expenditures approved by Council are budgeted items.

Mayor Nabours removed Items 9-A and 9-B from the Consent Agenda.

Councilmember Barotz moved to approve Consent Items 9-C through 9-G; seconded; passed unanimously.

- C. **Consideration and Approval of Agreement:** Flagstaff Watershed Protection Project (FWPP) Participating Agreement Supplemental Project Agreement (SPA)14-PA-11030408-013: Boundary Line Survey with U.S. Forest Service Coconino National Forest ***(Approve agreement with USFS for reimbursement for survey work to be implemented by USFS in connection with the FWPP).***

MOTION: Approve FWPP SPA 14-PA-11030408-013 Boundary Line Survey with U.S. Forest Service Coconino National Forest.

- D. **Consideration and Approval of Agreement:** Flagstaff Watershed Protection Project (FWPP) Intergovernmental Agreement No. 14-1: Equestrian Parcel Forest Treatment Agreement with AZ State Forestry Division ***(Approve Intergovernmental Agreement with AZ State Forestry Division for forest treatment work associated with the FWPP).***

MOTION: Approve FWPP Intergovernmental Agreement 14-1 Equestrian Parcel Forest Treatment Agreement with AZ State Forestry Division

- E. **Consideration and Approval of Agreement:** Agreement for Professional Services for the Flagstaff Watershed Protection Project (FWPP): City of Flagstaff and Greater Flagstaff Forests Partnership ***(Approve Agreement for Professional Services with GFFP for services associated with the FWPP).***

MOTION: Approve Agreement for Professional Services for the FWPP with Greater Flagstaff Forests Partnership (GFFP).

- F. **Consideration and Approval of Contract:** Switzer Canyon Transmission Main Project Phase II ***(Award of construction contract to Redpoint Contracting, L.L.C. for construction of the Switzer Canyon Transmission Main Project Phase II).***

MOTION:

- 1) Award the construction contract to Redpoint Contracting, L.L.C. of Phoenix, Arizona in the total award amount of \$1,217,213.00, which includes \$25,000.00 in contract allowance. The contract period is 120 calendar days; and
- 2) Authorize Change Order Authority to the City Manager in the amount of \$119,220 (10% of the bid contract amount, less contract allowance) for unanticipated additional costs; and
- 3) Authorize the City Manager to execute the necessary documents.

- G. **Consideration and Approval of Contract:** Walapai Alley / San Francisco Alley Water & Sewer Improvement Project ***(Approve contract with McDonald Bros. Construction, Inc. for Walapai Alley/San Francisco Alley Water and Sewer Improvement Project).***

MOTION:

- 1) Award the construction contract to McDonald Bros. Construction, Inc. of Camp Verde, Arizona in the total award amount of \$374,866.56, which includes the base and alternate bids. The base and alternate bid includes a total of \$19,878.16 in contract allowance. The contract period is 120 calendar days; and
- 2) Authorize Change Order Authority to the City Manager in the amount of \$35,500.00 (10% of the bid contract amount, less contract allowance) for unanticipated additional costs; and
- 3) Authorize the City Manager to execute the necessary documents.

Discussion then continued on Item 9-B.

B. **Consideration and Approval of Contract:** Services Agreement; Desktop Office Supplies

Chris Keeler of Quality Connections addressed the Council noting that they currently have a contract with the City for recycling of cartridges but they have been selling office supplies for over five years and carry everything that Office Max sells, and they provide jobs to locals, including those with disabilities.

Councilmember Oravits asked how they operate. Mr. Keeler said that they started out with one client and have now grown to four group homes. They are based in Flagstaff and this will be their headquarters. He explained that they work with the RSA and DBE and that is where they get some of their funding.

Councilmember Barotz said that she trusted that he was at the meeting because they were not selected for the RFP. Mr. Keeler said that he was there to give the facts. He said that they can supply the same supplies but have better impacts on the community.

Mayor Nabours said that this is an issue that the Council has attempted to deal with but they have certain limitations.

Senior Purchasing Specialist Amy Hagin said that there was nothing in the RFP that would have been a point value for providing jobs to those with disabilities. She said that would not be typical for something simple like office supplies. She said that as it stands, the fact that they might be a local business competing does not make a difference.

Purchasing Director Rick Compau explained that Office Max meets the definition of a local vendor when they have a local presence, regardless that they are a bigger corporation. He said that they currently do not have a set aside program for formal solicitations like this.

City Attorney Michelle D'Andrea noted that the City of Tucson is currently being sued by the Goldwater Institute for giving preferential treatment.

Ms. Hagin reviewed the RFP process, noting that they had five staff members on the selection team.

Vice Mayor Evans questioned the comment under Community Participation portion of the staff summary as being “empowered,” and said that she did not believe that the term was being used correctly in this situation.

Mr. Burke said that the Council had two options—1) award the agreement to Office Max; or 2) not go with Office Max, but they would then have to rule that Office Max was not a responsive bidder and he did not believe they could support that standing.

Ms. Hagin said that if Quality Connection was not satisfied with the process they would have the ability to perform a vendor protest, but they would have to prove there was a partial process.

Councilmember Oravits asked if they could reject all bids and do a new RFP. Councilmember Woodson said that there are set asides available for these kind of businesses, but it was not part of this RFP, so they may not save this one, although they may want to look at adding that language in the future.

Ms. Hagin said that it would take another six to eight weeks to reissue a new RFP. She said that the proposal was for a three-year term with two one-year renewals.

Councilmember Barotz said that if they reject the proposal they could possibly see a price increase between now and the time of a new contract, costing the City more money. Ms. Hagin said that was correct. She explained that the current contract has expired but Office Max has agreed to extend the current pricing for the time being.

After further questions, **Councilmember Brewster moved to recess into Executive Session for legal advice; seconded; passed 5-2 with Mayor Nabours and Councilmember Woodson casting the dissenting votes.**

The Flagstaff City Council recessed the Regular Meeting of June 3, 2014, at 4:27 p.m. and returned at 4:37 p.m.

After brief discussion **Councilmember Woodson moved to accept the Proposal and approve the agreement with OfficeMax North America, Inc. for annual purchases of desktop office supplies; and authorize the City Manager to execute the necessary documents; seconded; passed unanimously.**

Councilmember Oravits said that they brought up a good discussion and he appreciated Mr. Keeler coming forward. He looked forward to resolving this issue in the future.

Discussion then turned to Item 9-A.

- A. **Consideration and Approval of Contract:** Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Service Partner Contract for Community Health and Human Services to be provided by United Way of Northern Arizona ("UWNA") **(Approve Service Agreement with**

United Way of Northern Arizona in the amount of \$293,750 for Fiscal Year 2014-2015).

Vice Mayor Evans declared a conflict of interest and left the dais at this time.

Mayor Nabours noted that the Council had been given an additional paragraph to consider plugging into the agreement indicating that the “provider understands and agrees that appropriations for purposes of this agreement are and shall be determined by Council each year.”

Councilmember Barotz added that it was important that they make a sincere effort to remind everyone that this was not a donation, but rather a service agreement. The City is hiring United Way to perform a service for them that they could do themselves, but they choose not to as it takes out the element of personal preference among members.

The following individuals spoke in support of the agreement with United Way:

- Myrna Rodriguez Carter
- Richard Bowen

Brief discussion was held on the benefits of the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) Program and the positive economic impact it had on the City.

Discussion was held on how they arrived at the requested amount. It was noted that the amount had been reduced in prior years due to the economy and this was the amount suggested by the City. Mr. Burke added that while they have had to reduce numbers in the past, they have oftentimes tried using one-time funds to offset some of the reductions.

Staff was asked why the RFP was not brought to Council prior to it being distributed. Mr. Compau noted that the RFP went out on March 7. Mr. Burke added that he had asked staff to review the scope of the RFP at last week's meeting to address some of the prior questions, but unfortunately not all of the councilmembers were present to hear that discussion.

United Way Director Jill Briggs explained that of the \$44,000 received from the City by United Way, \$18,800 of it goes toward administrative overhead. The balance is spent in training volunteers, training agencies, providing outcome measurements, logistics, all leveraging dollars communitywide. They partner with volunteers and institutions throughout the community to provide services for free that would normally have to be paid for. She said that there is a monetary leverage, although she has not crunched those numbers.

Councilmember Barotz said that she would like to express that she believes that it is the role of government to provide social services, and that is why she is a proponent, not just at the local level, but also state and federal.

Councilmember Oravits asked if United Way would entertain a shorter contract period. Ms. Briggs replied that they would prefer the contract as written. Councilmember Brewster said that she was against a one-year contract.

Councilmember Woodson moved to award the service contract and allocate funds to UWNA in the amount of \$293,750 for fiscal year 2014-2015 to provide Community Health and Human Services [with the addition of the suggested sentence]; seconded; passed 4-2 with Vice Mayor Evans abstaining and Mayor Nabours and Councilmember Oravits casting the dissenting votes.

Mayor Nabours said that the number was arbitrary and too broad. It was no reflection on United Way and the agencies to which United Way contributes.

Vice Mayor Evans returned to the dais at this time.

10. **ROUTINE ITEMS**

- A. **Consideration and Approval of Grant:** Arizona Department of Transportation Intergovernmental Agreement for the Frontage Road 40 Pavement Preservation Overlay Project, aka East Route 66 South of the Flagstaff Mall near Wildcat Waste Water Treatment Plant.

Mr. Burke noted that this would be paid for through a \$150,000 grant with \$8,000 of City funds. He said that it was just for design. Once the design was complete they would look at grant arrangements for construction.

Christine Cameron added that \$69,255 would be the City's portion toward the construction costs. The full amount for construction would be \$1.284 million.

Councilmember Woodson moved to approve the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)/Joint Project Agreement (JPA) between the City and the Arizona Department of Transportation for State Surface Transportation Program (STP) grant funds in the amount of \$150,000 and City funds in the amount of \$8,550 for the design of the Frontage Road (FR) 40 Overlay Project; seconded; passed unanimously.

- B. **Consideration and Adoption of Resolution No. 2014-22:** A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Flagstaff, Coconino County, Arizona, declaring official and adopting the results of the Special Election held on May 20, 2014
MOTION:

Councilmember Brewster moved to read Resolution No. 2014-22 by title only; seconded; passed unanimously.

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FLAGSTAFF, COCONINO COUNTY, ARIZONA, DECLARING OFFICIAL AND ADOPTING THE RESULTS OF THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD ON MAY 20, 2014

Councilmember Brewster moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-22; seconded; passed unanimously.

C. City position on proposed 2015 resolutions for submission to the League of Arizona Cities and Towns.

Deputy City Manager Jerene Watson and Mayor Nabours reviewed the list of proposed resolutions.

After brief discussion Council agreed to move forward with all but Resolution #2.

RECESS

The Regular Meeting of the Flagstaff City Council held June 3, 2014, recessed at 5:33 p.m.

6:00 P.M. MEETING

RECONVENE

Mayor Nabours reconvened the Regular Meeting of the Flagstaff City Council of June 3, 2014, at 6:03 p.m.

NOTICE OF OPTION TO RECESS INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the City Council and to the general public that, at this regular meeting, the City Council may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for legal advice and discussion with the City's attorneys for legal advice on any item listed on the following agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3).

11. ROLL CALL

NOTE: One or more Councilmembers may be in attendance telephonically or by other technological means.

Present:

Absent:

MAYOR NABOURS
VICE MAYOR EVANS
COUNCILMEMBER BAROTZ
COUNCILMEMBER BREWSTER
COUNCILMEMBER ORAVITS
COUNCILMEMBER OVERTON
COUNCILMEMBER WOODSON

Others present: Kevin Burke, City Manager; Sterling Solomon, Deputy City Attorney.

12. **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Beth Levly addressed the Council stating that she was a supporter of lifelong learning and she invited everyone to attend the High Altitude Storytelling activities at Killip Elementary School on Saturday, June 21, 2014, from 12:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Amy Perry Lipman thanked the Council for finding a new location for the City Yard and said that the surrounding neighborhood would be following what direction the City went and had some suggestions to consider.

Emily Davalos said that she had previously heard about the recent survey done regarding a possible road tax and asked if the survey questions could be made available. She also said she was offended by President Haeger's comments made at the previous night's meeting.

Rob Willson said that he recently requested records from the City regarding the Dew Downtown because of a comment made that overall they had a \$13,000 profit, but because not all of the numbers were included in the recap the \$13,000 profit turned into a \$46,000 loss. He encouraged Council to consider the real costs of such events and make sure other decisions being made include all of the costs.

13. **CARRY OVER ITEMS FROM THE 4:00 P.M. AGENDA**

None

14. **PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS**

None

15. **REGULAR AGENDA**

- A. **Consideration and Adoption of Resolution No. 2014-19:** A resolution of the Council of the City of Flagstaff, Arizona adopting the tentative estimates of the amounts required for the public expense for the City of Flagstaff for Fiscal Year 2014-2015; adopting a Tentative Budget; setting forth the receipts and expenditures; the amount proposed to be raised by direct property taxation; giving notice of the time for hearing taxpayers, for adopting of Budget and for fixing the tax levies.

Finance Director Rick Tadder gave a brief PowerPoint presentation which reviewed:

- CITY OF FLAGSTAFF FY2015 BUDGET
- BUDGET – LEGAL SCHEDULE A
- BUDGET SESSION
- CONTINUED
- TOTAL PROPOSED PRIMARY TAX LEVY
- INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT

Councilmember Barotz moved to read Resolution No. 2014-19 by title only; seconded; passed unanimously. She noted that although this was the adopted budget it just gave them the authority to spend to that amount; does not necessary mean they will spend that much.

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA ADOPTING THE TENTATIVE ESTIMATES OF THE AMOUNTS REQUIRED FOR THE PUBLIC EXPENSE FOR THE CITY OF FLAGSTAFF FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015; ADOPTING A TENTATIVE BUDGET; SETTING FORTH THE RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES; THE AMOUNT PROPOSED TO BE RAISED BY DIRECT PROPERTY TAXATION; GIVING NOTICE OF THE TIME FOR HEARING TAXPAYERS, FOR ADOPTING OF BUDGET AND FOR FIXING THE TAX LEVIES

Councilmember Oravits moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-19; seconded; passed unanimously.

- B. **Consideration and Approval of Agreement:** With True Life Companies (TLC) D.B.A. Pine Canyon regarding a modification of an existing zoning condition and disposition of fees. ***(Approve the Agreement between TLC PC Infrastructure, LLC and the City of Flagstaff which requires final Council approval for General Condition 8 Amendment on or before September 1, 2014.)***

Mayor Nabours moved to approve the Agreement between TLC PC Infrastructure, LLC and the City of Flagstaff and authorize the Mayor to sign the Agreement and any other necessary and appropriate documents; authorize staff to take other actions as needed to further Council direction; seconded; passed unanimously.

16. **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- A. **Review and discussion of roof replacement options for the Flagstaff Main Library.**

Facilities Maintenance Superintendent Sergio Enriquez addressed the Council, giving a brief PowerPoint presentation showing the pictures of various roof materials to be considered for the Library Roof.

He explained that for a good metal roof with good design and insulation, and proper maintenance, it could have a 50-75 year life expectancy. With shingles, they provide a 40 year warranty, but usually last about 25 years. But, he said that the cost of a metal roof is \$300,000 while the shingle is around \$150,000.

Mr. Enriquez explained that the metal roof on the Library, unlike the steel metal roof on City Hall, is aluminum and with the lack of maintenance over the first 10-20 years the life expectancy was reduced and they are having problems with it leaking.

The following individuals spoke in favor of replacing the library roof with metal:

- Lawrence Wasserman, Library Foundation Board
- Charlie Silver, Flagstaff Library Foundation and Friends of Flagstaff Library

Discussion was held on the urgency of the repair and whether it could be funded over two fiscal years to provide for a metal roof.

Mr. Enriquez said that they have been trying to get it funded for the last three to five years. He would be okay with two years, but anything over that would create further problems.

Many of the Councilmembers stated that when they first discussed this during the budget retreat they were leaning toward shingles because of the cost, but with the comments received from the public, they would support funding a metal roof over a two year period.

Consensus of the Council was to move forward to repairing the library roof with metal materials, over a two year period.

B. Rio de Flag Flood Control Project - FEMA Flood Design Concept Report (DCR) Presentation and Discussion

Community Development Director Mark Landsiedel gave a brief review of this project, and introduced James Duval, who continued with a PowerPoint presentation which addressed:

BACKGROUND

1. Lack of Progress with USACE
2. Staff Presentation to Council 2/26/13
3. Staff Presentation to Council 4/2/13
4. Council approval of DC 12/3/13

FEMA V. USACE FLOOD PROTECTION

USACE

FEMA

Project Statement

PURPOSE

Design Concept Report

Determine Strategy for Future Project Delivery

Mayor Nabours asked if the City would have any input if they continued with the Army Corps of Engineers. Mr. Duval has provided input, but it has been accepted minimally, such as with the composite channel which is not in the ACE's design. He said that the major differences have to do with how the Army Corps of Engineers developed their hydro design criteria, which is significantly different than the FEMA flows.

Mr. Burke said that he wanted to be clear that there was not a policy decision tonight regarding the design.

Jeff Brannell then continued the PowerPoint to address technical issues:

PROJECT PURPOSE
EXISTING FLOODPLAIN IMPACTS
USACE PROJECT SUMMARY
Alt 1 USACE ALIGNMENT USING LOWER FEMA FLOWS
Alt 2 USING EXISTING CHANNEL ALIGNMENT THRU RT 66 AND BNSF
Alt 3 USING EXISTING CULVERT IN BUTLER TO REDUCE STRUCTURE SIZE
Alt 4 COMBINATION OF ALT 2 FOR RIO DE FLAG & CONCRETE CIRCULAR
COMPOSITE CHANNEL – ALL ALTERNATIVES
RIO DE FLAG LOWER REACH – ALL ALTERNATIVES
PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE (ALT 4) BENEFITS
COST COMPARISON
BENEFITS VS. CORP PROJECT
CONCLUSION

Mr. Duval emphasized that if they continue to investigate Option 3, it does not mean they cannot investigate 1 and 2. They would still look at financing and funding options and come back at a later time.

City Engineer Rick Barrett explained that the City has been struggling with ACE to fund completion of the 100% plans. The reason ACE has not been able to incorporate these elements is because they have not been funded. Also the value-engineered ideas that ACE concurs with but have not been incorporated into their sub-plans.

Mr. Burke added that whether the City's share is \$34 million or \$57 million, they do not have those funds in either scenario.

A break was held between 7:42 p.m. and 7:53 p.m.

Charlie Silver addressed the Council stating that they have a stream through town and that is an asset. They are qualitatively very attractive and enhance the quality of life in communities. He said the riparian areas essentially need to be treasured and nurtured and he was concerned with maintenance of a covered, inaccessible waterway.

John Graham, former Board Member of Rio de Flag Friends, said that for the last three years their major push was to not have the Rio buried downtown. That has been expressed to Council, staff and everywhere and they have been heard. He said that James Duval has really listened and he was at the meeting to say this was great work, a great design, and the City was headed in the right direction.

Discussion was held on the potential of having an open channel throughout the community and the benefits it could provide.

With regard to maintenance, Mr. Duval said that under the Army Corps of Engineers they would need to provide the City with maintenance manuals and they are not there yet, and the City would have to do the same thing as well. It will need to be explored and determined.

Council consensus was to continue to proceed investigating financial opportunities to move forward as a purely City project.

C. Road Repair and Street Safety Initiative

Mr. Burke said that the County's meeting today there was a very high level of discussion and the consensus was to move forward with a ballot question. It seemed to be likely at either .25 or .375, and they had no decision yet as to revenue sharing. Additionally, they were not sure of the length.

Vice Mayor Evans said that she was asked yesterday why they would use any of these funds on utilities since Utilities was an enterprise fund. Mr. Burke said that there was no right or wrong answer, but it was the same reason that they are paying for roads with sales tax versus gas tax—because there is not enough in the fund.

Mr. Burke said that the Citizens Review Committee and staff did look at paying for the utility improvements with a rate increase, but there is already going to be some notable increases in utility rates to repair utilities that are not in the streets. He added that the majority of utilities that are reaching the end of life are not in the roads, so there is some concern that if they increase utility rates now they would take away capacity to deal with those others.

Discussion was held on possible revenue sharing with the County. Council also discussed whether to invest \$13 million into some type of congestion project noting that there was concern with messaging congestion but only really addressing one project, such as Fourth Street over I-40.

Consensus of Council was to eliminate Options 3 and 4 and focus on the first two options, at no more than 20 years.

17. POSSIBLE FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Verbal comments from the public on any item under this section must be given during Public Participation near the beginning of the meeting. Written comments may be submitted to the City Clerk. After discussion and upon agreement of three members of the Council, an item will be moved to a regularly-scheduled Council meeting.

None

18. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS AND REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND STAFF, REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Councilmember Woodson requested that the City consider incorporating a DBE component into future RFP processes. He also said that Winslow has an ordinance which addresses some of these issues. Mayor Nabours asked that a copy be obtained and provided to the Council.

Councilmember Woodson requested that they explore not using potable water for the Dew Downtown event.

Vice Mayor Evans and Councilmember Oravits both requested receiving the information from Rob Wilson regarding the Dew Downtown and getting additional figures for staff and equipment.

Mr. Burke said that he wanted to be clear that both deputy city managers were also helping on the transportation tax issue.

19. **ADJOURNMENT**

The Regular Meeting of the Flagstaff City Council held June 3, 2014, adjourned at 9:23 p.m.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

CERTIFICATION

STATE OF ARIZONA)
 SS)
County of Coconino)

I, ELIZABETH A. BURKE, do hereby certify that I am the City Clerk of the City of Flagstaff, County of Coconino, State of Arizona, and that the above Minutes are a true and correct summary of the meeting of the Council of the City of Flagstaff held June 3, 2014. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

Dated this 17th day of June, 2014.

CITY CLERK