

5:00 P.M. MEETING

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Nabours called the meeting of the Flagstaff City Council held December 2, 2014, at 5:03 p.m.

NOTICE OF OPTION TO RECESS INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the City Council and to the general public that, at this regular meeting, the City Council may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for legal advice and discussion with the City's attorneys for legal advice on any item listed on the following agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3).

2. ROLL CALL

NOTE: One or more Councilmembers may be in attendance telephonically or by other technological means .

PRESENT

MAYOR NABOURS
VICE MAYOR EVANS
COUNCILMEMBER BAROTZ
COUNCILMEMBER BREWSTER
COUNCILMEMBER ORAVITS
COUNCILMEMBER OVERTON
COUNCILMEMBER PUTZOVA

ABSENT

COUNCILMEMBER BREWSTER (Excused)

Others present: City Manager Kevin Burke; City Attorney Michelle D'Andrea.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND MISSION STATEMENT

The Flagstaff City Council and audience recited the Pledge of Allegiance and Mayor Nabours read the City of Flagstaff's Mission Statement.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the City of Flagstaff is to protect and enhance the quality of life of its citizens.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

See 6:00 p.m. portion of the meeting

5. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation enables the public to address the Council about an item that is not on the agenda (or is listed under Possible Future Agenda Items). Comments relating to items that are on the agenda will be taken at the time that the item is discussed. If you wish to address the Council at tonight's meeting, please complete a comment card and submit it to the recording clerk as soon as possible. Your name will be called when it is your turn to speak. You may address the Council up to three times throughout the meeting, including comments made during Public Participation. Please limit your remarks to three minutes per item to allow everyone an opportunity to speak. At the discretion of the Chair, ten or more persons present at the meeting and wishing to speak may appoint a representative who may have no more than fifteen minutes to speak.

See 6:00 p.m. portion of the meeting

6. PROCLAMATIONS AND RECOGNITIONS

None

7. APPOINTMENTS

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the City Council and to the general public that the City Council may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of discussing or considering employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, dismissal, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a public officer, appointee, or employee of any public body...., pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(1).

None

8. LIQUOR LICENSE PUBLIC HEARINGS

See 6:00 p.m. portion of the meeting

9. CONSENT ITEMS

All matters under Consent Agenda are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by one motion approving the recommendations listed on the agenda. Unless otherwise indicated, expenditures approved by Council are budgeted items.

None

10. SPECIAL ACTION**A. Swearing In of New Mayor and Councilmembers**

Presiding Magistrate Judge Chotena swore in Mayor Nabours and Councilmembers Barotz, Overton and Putzova.

B. Selection of Vice Mayor

Mayor Nabours said that he had mentioned a few years ago that there needed to be discussion on the selection process for Vice Mayor.

Moved by Vice Mayor Coral Evans, **seconded by** Councilmember Scott Overton to appoint Celia Barotz as Vice Mayor.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

Vice Mayor Barotz said that if anyone wanted to have a discussion about the Vice Mayor selection process it should be done at a time other than during the election; it was an uncomfortable position in which to be.

Although a few members of the public had requested to speak on the issue of selecting the Vice Mayor, Mayor Nabours said that they would not be taking any public comment at this time.

RECESS

The 5:00 p.m. portion of the meeting of December 2, 2014, recessed at 5:13 p.m. and a reception followed in the lobby.

6:00 P.M. MEETING**RECONVENE**

Mayor Nabours reconvened the meeting of December 2, 2014, at 6:00 p.m.

NOTICE OF OPTION TO RECESS INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the City Council and to the general public that, at this regular meeting, the City Council may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for legal advice and discussion with the City's attorneys for legal advice on any item listed on the following agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3).

11. ROLL CALL

NOTE: One or more Councilmembers may be in attendance telephonically or by other technological means.

PRESENT:

MAYOR NABOURS
VICE MAYOR BAROTZ
COUNCILMEMBER EVANS
COUNCILMEMBER ORAVITS
COUNCILMEMBER OVERTON
COUNCILMEMBER PUTZOVA

ABSENT:

COUNCILMEMBER BREWSTER (Excused)

Others present: City Manager Kevin Burke; City Attorney Michelle D'Andrea.

12. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Joe Ray, Flagstaff, said that some of the Council has been saying they want less government and more input, but the actions tonight showed differently. He said that the method for selecting Vice Mayor has been followed for many years and it should be continued.

Jane O'Donnell, Flagstaff, thanked the Council for all they do. She said that she was watching the discussion from two weeks ago when Councilmember Overton made a comment that it was difficult when a developer has done everything that has been requested of them. She said that that is the reason the Council votes on these issues; they are the last human filter to determine whether an application is good for the town. Her understanding is that rezoning has to do with the future of the town and long-term effects. It is important that the Council consider what the community wants and use that with their human judgment.

Joseph Fox, Flagstaff, requested that the Council consider when there is a high density development that the taxpayer does not have to pay for all of the improvements. He asked that they keep concentrated development like student housing close to the college.

13. CARRY OVER ITEMS FROM THE 4:00 P.M. AGENDA**A. Approval of Minutes**

- i. **Consideration and Approval of Minutes:** City Council Combined Work Session/Special Meeting of October 28, 2014.

Moved by Councilmember Jeff Oravits, **seconded by** Mayor Jerry Nabours to approve the minutes of the City Council Combined Work Session/Special Meeting of October 28, 2014.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

B. Liquor Licenses

- i. **Consideration and Action on Liquor License Application:** Lauren Merrett, "Field House Chicken and Waffles", 2500 S. Woodlands Village Blvd. #28, Series 12 (restaurant), New License.

Mayor Nabours opened the public hearing. There being no public input, Mayor Nabours closed the public hearing.

Moved by Councilmember Jeff Oravits **seconded by** Councilmember Coral Evans to forward the application to the State with a recommendation for approval.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

14. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

None

15. REGULAR AGENDA

- A. **Consideration of Construction Contract Change Order #1** : Flagstaff Urban Trail System (FUTS) Signage Project (***Approve Change Order #1 to FUTS Signage Project contract***)

Capital Improvement Project Manager Randy Whitaker gave a PowerPoint presentation on the requested change order, noting that the funding agency, Arizona State Parks, had given written approval to the change order. He said that from the beginning, since the bids were so low, there was always a plan to add additional items to utilize the grant funds.

Mayor Nabours said that he still has a question about buying \$36,000 worth of signs that have not been bid on. He was concerned that there may be a sign company that claims they did not have the opportunity to submit a bid.

Mr. Whitaker said that during the initial presentation, when the contract was awarded, they had reported that the bids were much lower than anticipated and he got the understanding that if they wanted to utilize the rest of the grant funds with this contract, they would be able to do that. He said that they had originally never expected to have enough funds to include the K-signs in the proposal. He said that because of that understanding at the award meeting, they moved forward and the contractor has done some of the work; this is a confirming change order. He said that they would have to go back to the contractor. He apologized for the misunderstanding.

Ms. D'Andrea said that she sent a confidential memo with her legal advice to the Council. Mayor Nabours suggested they take a break so the Council would have the opportunity to read the opinion.

A break was held from 6:51 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.

Further discussion was held on the ability to remove the K-signs from the negotiated price. Mr. Whitaker explained that it would need to be renegotiated because that price was based on including the K-signs. He said that they have been out with the equipment, starting to dig holes. He said that they already had the understanding they could move forward, and had written approval from the grant funder, so they moved forward. He said

that to remove this portion they would need to shut down the project.

Mr. Burke explained that coming out of the initial award, there was significant excess of grant funds so they went back and told the contractor they would like to enhance the scope. He said that the Change Order Committee (internal staff including legal) believed it would be an appropriate change order. They approved it, but told staff it would have to go to Council to be confirmed. He said that at this point a cancellation would still incur some costs because some of the work has been done.

Brief discussion was held on a motion to approve the change order, minus the K-signs. It was noted that this proposal would have to be taken back to the funding agency and they were not sure they would approve it.

Councilmember Evans said that they had a lot of questions that they do not have the answers to.

Moved by Councilmember Coral Evans **seconded by** Councilmember Jeff Oravits to postpone this item for two weeks.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

- B. Consideration and Approval of Contract:** P3 Pre-development Agreement between the City of Flagstaff, Arizona Department of Transportation and Vintage Partners, LLC. (Initial Agreement for the extension of Beulah Blvd., realignment of University Ave., and relocation of ADOT facilities).

Planning Director Dan Folke began the presentation, noting that this has been worked on for the last two years. He said that this P3 is a public/private partnership between the City of Flagstaff, Arizona Department of Transportation and Vintage Partners, LLC. The resulting project will relocate the existing ADOT facilities on Milton Road to the existing Harkins Theater site on Woodlands Village Boulevard, enable the completion of Beulah Boulevard to University Avenue and the realignment of University Avenue, and will include the redevelopment of the existing ADOT property.

He said that the pre-development agreement provides an overview of the various transactions, authorizes Vintage Partners to prepare and submit required materials for a Site Plan and Rezoning application for ADOT and City property located between Milton Parkway and Beulah Avenue, provides an anticipated schedule, requires an implementation agreement between ADOT and Vintage Partners, provides the term of the agreement, provides remedies for disputes and includes miscellaneous provisions required for an ADOT P3 project

Mr. Folke said that in 2005 the City purchased 9.23 acres located west of the existing ADOT facility at 1801 S. Milton Road with the intention of completing Beulah Boulevard to University Avenue and to realign the west leg of University Avenue to connect at the existing traffic signal of Milton Road and east University. City staff completed a preliminary realignment plan (attached to the report) and programmed \$7.4 million in the FY2015-2019 Capital Improvement Plan for design and construction. Both the acquisition and new roadways are funded by the Transportation Tax. The State of Arizona allows the Arizona Department of Transportation to participate in public/private partnerships (P3) that provide a benefit to the ADOT operations and the public interest. From this the P3 idea for this location was formed and ADOT issued a Request for Proposals for the redevelopment of the site to include the proposed roadway improvements. The successful proposal needed to include a new location ready for occupancy for the ADOT facility.

The selection committee included representatives from the City and ADOT and the RFP resulted in four proposals. The successful proposal was prepared by Vintage Partners, LLC. The proposal is to relocate ADOT to the existing Harkins Theater on Woodlands Village Boulevard and redevelop the existing site with a mixed use project that will dedicate the right-of-way required to construct the University/Beulah improvements. Multiple transactions are required to accomplish the project. The City will deed the 9.23 acre Fresquez parcel to ADOT in exchange for the ADOT land needed to complete the University realignment. ADOT will deed their 6.74 acres and the 9.23 acre Fresquez parcel (less the ROW needed for University and Beulah) to Vintage Partners in exchange for their new facility on Woodlands Village Boulevard. Vintage Partners will complete the necessary remodel and other site improvements required for ADOT to relocate. Although it is not included in the pre-development agreement, Vintage Partners has an agreement with Harkins Theater relating to the construction of a new theater on the east side of town between the Flagstaff Mall and Marketplace, which must be completed and occupied before the current Harkins site is available to begin the remodel.

Mayor Nabours asked what the City's contribution was to the entire project. Mr. Folke said that the City's 9.23 acres would be deeded to ADOT, and then the capital project itself, currently programmed in the Capital Improvement Program, \$7.37 million from the transportation tax, would fund the design and construction of the improvement. He said that the PDA gives an option that the City could build the improvement itself or authorize Vintage to construct it and the City fund it.

Councilmember Overton said that this has been a long time coming. It was approved in 2000 and is another piece of Milton traffic relief. He said that he sees it as a great positive and they are at that stage where they will see some parts begin to move.

Mayor Nabours voiced concern with the flow of traffic coming from the current Wendy's and gas station. Mr. Folke said that they do not have all of that designed yet; it is very conceptual at this time. The City has been working with Vintage to begin a traffic impact analysis and the City is studying those issues of public right of way.

Moved by Councilmember Scott Overton **seconded by** Councilmember Coral Evans to authorize the City Manager to sign the P3 Pre-development Agreement.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

- C. Consideration and Adoption of Ordinance No. 2014-30:** An ordinance of the City Council of the City of Flagstaff, Arizona, extending and increasing the corporate limits of the City of Flagstaff, Coconino County, State of Arizona, pursuant to the provisions of Title 9, Chapter 4, Arizona Revised Statutes, by annexing certain land totaling approximately 3.14 acres located at 2701 S. Woody Mountain Road, which land is contiguous to the existing corporate limits of the City of Flagstaff and establishing city zoning for said land as RR, Rural Residential. **(Annexation of property for Aspen Heights located on Woody Mountain Road) (PUBLIC COMMENT HAS CONCLUDED)**

Mayor Nabours stated that this item had come before Council previously in conjunction with a rezone request; however, the rezone request has been withdrawn. He said that they had closed the public comment portion of the public hearing, but if further discussion and input was requested, a motion would be in order.

Moved by Vice Mayor Celia Barotz **seconded by** Councilmember Coral Evans to reopen the public comment portion of the public hearing on this item.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

Thomas Giallanza, Deputy Receiver, said that he had appeared once before in the earlier stages of the request. He was here to assist in one other way. He explained that the original proposal had included an application by a developer for Aspen Heights. He wanted to make it clear that the developer was no longer part of the future of this property. He was at the meeting this evening to represent the Receivership that is administering this asset. He said that the property is one of 387 assets working their way through various processes and they now have less than 20 to finalize. They would very much like to have all of the property in the City limits. He said that 3.14 acres of the 33+ acre parcel is in the County; the remainder is in the City and they would like to have all of the parcel within the City limits for ease in marketing.

Vice Mayor Barotz said that the packet the Council received contained an application for annexation showing Aspen Heights as the property owner. Ms. Antol said that when the applicant originally submitted this it was shown as Aspen Heights. They later discovered that they were not the actual owners. It is actually owned by Landmark which is in receivership, and Mr. G represents them. She said that the actual annexation application was signed by Landmark to begin the process and the annexation petition has been signed by them to move the process forward.

Mr. Giallanza said that he can petition the receivership court to 1) allow him to liquidate it; or 2) transfer record title to the beneficial owner, and he would like to do that for the entire parcel under the requirements of the City of Flagstaff.

Vice Mayor Barotz asked why this was good for the taxpayers of Flagstaff. Mr. Giallanza said that it could become good down the road if the beneficial owners or receivership decides that it wishes to do development. By annexing this small portion of the property would allow the entire parcel to be developed by City standards.

Councilmember Evans asked why they were just now requesting the annexation if it was so important to have it done. Mr. Giallanza said that the process has taken quite a bit of time. They were dealing with a bankrupt entity and when the State began its process of recognizing that it was spending more money than making, the over \$5 million reserve fund that was available to the receiver to administer this was swept by the State Legislature. They have had to administer the receivership by selling parcels. She said that if this is no longer tied to the Aspen Heights development, someone should have updated the information they had. It was confusing to her and probably confusing to the public.

Mr. Burke said that was a fair observation. He said that the other applications were withdrawn late in the process and they have been looking to revise all of the documents so they did not have the Aspen Heights references. He said that the agenda still shows a connection; however, there is no connection.

Mayor Nabours asked if all of the applicable fees had been paid by Aspen Heights for the annexation petition. Mr. Giallanza replied that he was assuming that if that had not been done he would be responsible for it.

The following individuals spoke in regard to the annexation request:

- Robert Mason
- Adam Shimoni
- Joe Ray

The following comments were received:

- Understanding the other development had been dropped, they were concerned with

dark skies and with someone coming in the back door. They wanted to make sure that was not the case.

- Was glad to see they had some time on their hands and was looking forward to seeing what staff was working on with information gathered from the Housing Symposium
- Table the item tonight; need to look at the property across the road

Written comments were also received from the following individuals in opposition to the proposed annexation:

- Renee Rosales
- Ted Reed
- Nick Kowall
- Robert Mason
- Sarah Johnson
- Levon Miller
- Dawn Dyer

Mayor Nabours closed the public comment portion of the Public Hearing at this time.

Mayor Nabours said that because Councilmember Brewster could not be at the meeting, he would ask that they postpone action for two weeks until they had a full Council to address the request. Councilmember Oravits said that he would agree to that as it would allow them to clear up the documentation and be sure that it reads correctly.

Mayor Nabours said that the City was going to propose an annexation from the County into the City nearly across the street for its own Public Works Yard. He thought it was hypocritical to say they were going to annex its property, but would not do it for someone else. Vice Mayor Barotz said that there is no plan for development on this property; there is for the City's yard.

Councilmember Overton said that they have worked hard over the years to eliminate as many county islands as they can. He was comfortable with the annexation. He could see competing values, even in the lighting code they had more stringent standards than the County regulations. He believed it would provide some amount of continuity as a majority of the property was already in the City limits.

Councilmember Oravits said that he did not see a problem with the annexation, but he would ask that they clean up the paperwork.

Councilmember Putzova asked if staff had examples of when annexations had come before the Council without a development plan. Current Planning Manager Mark Sawyers came forward and replied that over the last 20 years, the City has probably done 10-12 annexations. Most of them were to seek City services. Some services have been different than others. Some had actually received services before through separate easements. They have seen annexations where people were opposed to it and the City approved it. He said that when they look at the whole gamut, they are all unique to themselves.

Moved by Mayor Jerry Nabours **seconded by** Councilmember Jeff Oravits to postpone this item for two weeks.

Vote : 2 - 4 Failed

AYE: Mayor Jerry Nabours
Councilmember Jeff Oravits

Moved by Mayor Jerry Nabours **seconded by** Councilmember Scott Overton to read Ordinance No. 2014-30 for the final time.

Vote : 3 - 3 Failed

AYE: Mayor Jerry Nabours
Councilmember Jeff Oravits
Councilmember Scott Overton

NAY: Vice Mayor Celia Barotz
Councilmember Coral Evans
Councilmember Eva Putzova

D. ~~Consideration and Adoption of Resolution No. 2014-36:~~ ~~—A resolution authorizing the execution of a Development Agreement between City of Flagstaff and York Breckenridge GP, LLC related to the development of approximately 36.94 acres of real property generally located at 2701 S. Woody Mountain Road, Flagstaff, Arizona.~~
(APPLICATION WITHDRAWN)

E. ~~Public Hearing, Consideration and Adoption of Ordinance No. 2014-31:~~ ~~—An Ordinance amending the Flagstaff Zoning Map designation of approximately 36.94 acres of real property generally located at the intersection of Route 66 and Woody Mountain Road, from Rural Residential ("RR") to Highway Commercial ("HC") for 3.6 acres, and to Medium Density Residential ("MR") for 33.33 acres. **(Rezoning of property for Aspen Heights located on Woody Mountain Road)** **(APPLICATION WITHDRAWN)**~~

F. Consideration and Adoption of Resolution No. 2014-42: A resolution of the City of Flagstaff, Arizona approving a pre-annexation agreement between the City of Flagstaff and the Gosch Family Living Trust.

Planning Development Manager Neil Gullickson gave a brief PowerPoint presentation, reviewing the application. He said that the applicant's, Tom and Melanie Gosch, have requested a water tap into the City's new water transmission main that has recently been constructed along the north side of West Route 66. This main is the same main that will provide water to W.L. Gore's facility on Kiltie Lane. The site is located at 4392 West Route 66 and is adjacent to Route 66 and the main. There are two structures on the site, a single-family dwelling and an accessory structure.

He said that the Water Commission reviewed and unanimously approved a recommendation by the City staff that the this property be allowed to connect to the transmission main located in West Route 66, and that approval was contingent on two conditions:

1. That this site be served by one 3/4 inch yard line that serves the existing single-family home and accessory building(s). Additional hook-ups to other homes will required additional review.
2. The approval is contingent upon the approval of a pre-annexation agreement.

The transmission main located within the West Route 66 right-of-way is 18-inches in diameter. A 20-ft long 8-inch distribution main will be used to tap the transmission main. A new fire hydrant will be located at the end of the distribution main. The 3/4-inch yard line will tap the distribution main and will feed water to the Gosch residence. Other than the existing transmission main, all of the improvements will be provided by the applicant.

Mr. Gullickson said that the site is located adjacent to the City owned McAllister Ranch property which lies between this applicant's property and the current city boundary. Arizona Revised Statue requires that to qualify for annexation, a property must be located adjacent to an existing city boundary. This property is not currently adjacent to an existing city boundary.

Moved by Councilmember Jeff Oravits **seconded by** Mayor Jerry Nabours to read Resolution No. 2014-42 by title only.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

*A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA APPROVING A
PRE-ANNEXATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF FLAGSTAFF AND THE
GOSCH FAMILY LIVING TRUST*

Moved by Mayor Jerry Nabours **seconded by** Councilmember Jeff Oravits to adopt Resolution No. 2014-42.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

- G. Consideration and Approval of Cooperative Contract** : Purchase of a Type I Pierce Quantum Pumper Fire Truck, for City of Flagstaff Fire Department through a City of Mesa cooperative purchase contract, #2013-118 (**Approve contract for purchase of fire truck for a total amount not to exceed \$460,283.00, plus applicable sales tax**)

Fire Chief Gaillard said that during budget they talked about replacement of a Type I engine (truck). He said that the old truck is 25 years old with mileage in excess of 172,000 at the time of the budget. He said that the repair costs are in excess of 123% of the purchase price, and it is currently inoperable.

Chief Gaillard said that they have continued with a standardization approach, maintaining a Pierce piece of equipment. He said that the City has benefited from having that standardization in that the fleet technicians only have to deal with one manufacturer. In light of that, they were able to purchase through a cooperative purchase agreement using a procurement done by the City of Mesa two years ago.

Councilmember Oravits asked if they had looked into the possibility of a rebuilt or reconditioned unit. He said that Oro Valley got two rebuilt pieces of equipment with a six-year warranty. Chief Gaillard said that he did not know if they had considered that option. Councilmember Oravits said that he would like to see that option considered in the future.

Mayor Nabours asked what would happen to the existing truck. Chief Gaillard said that they are evaluating whether they need to put any more money into it for a backup since it will be another ten months before the new truck is received. Once the new truck comes in, they will dispose of it one way or another.

Moved by Councilmember Coral Evans **seconded by** Vice Mayor Celia Barotz to approve the purchase of Type I Pierce Quantum Pumper Fire Truck from Hughes Fire Equipment, Inc., a Pierce Sales Distributor using a City of Mesa's cooperative purchase contract for a total not to exceed \$460,283.00 plus applicable sales tax.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

- H. **Consideration and Approval of Cooperative Contract:** Consider an amendment to extend an IGA with the Lockett Ranches Fire District for Fire/Medical/Rescue Services ***(Amend IGA with Lockett Ranches Fire District to extend the term)***

Chief Gaillard said that in 2011 the City entered into an agreement with Lockett Ranches Fire District, and within the agreement there is a provision for an extension. Their President came forward and advised that they wished to move forward with the extension as anticipated. What is before Council is a very short amendment to the existing agreement to extend it to another five years. He said that the City receives \$166,000 annually and it does not take any additional personnel or equipment to provide the service. He said that the price went up about \$6,000 and is based on a modifier of 18%. The CPI will come into effect in years 2-5 and if those years if it goes up, so will their fee, but it will not go down.

Moved by Vice Mayor Celia Barotz **seconded by** Councilmember Eva Putzova to approve an IGA amendment extending the term of the IGA between the Lockett Ranches Fire District and the City of Flagstaff.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

- I. **Consideration and Approval of Intergovernmental Agreement:** Council will consider authorizing the City of Flagstaff to enter into an IGA to fund a Regional Training Coordinator (RTC) for fire department training ***(Approve IGA for Fire Department Regional Training Coordinator)***.

Chief Gaillard said that they had also brought this to Council during the budget process. They have put together an IGA to ensure that the cost distribution is fair and what is before the Council will allow them to move forward with hiring a regional training coordinator and establishing regional training with their partners (Arizona National Guard, Camp Navajo Fire Department, Flagstaff Fire Department, Highlands Fire District, Ponderosa Fire District, and Summit Fire District).

Moved by Mayor Jerry Nabours **seconded by** Councilmember Coral Evans to approve the IGA and authorize the Mayor to execute the IGA.

Vote : 6 - 0 Passed

16. **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

A. **Update on 4FRI**

Paul Summerfelt with the Flagstaff Fire Department said that in addition to the current operations that the City operate, the City was also involved in two significant and groundbreaking efforts--the Flagstaff Watershed Protection Project and the 4FRI, and updates were provided on both of them which covered the information provided in Exhibits A and B, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

17. **POSSIBLE FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

Verbal comments from the public on any item under this section must be given during Public Participation near the beginning of the meeting. Written comments may be submitted to the City Clerk. After discussion and upon agreement of three members of the Council, an item will be moved to a regularly-scheduled Council meeting.

