

**League of Arizona Cities & Towns**  
**2015 Resolution Submissions**

No.	Summary	Sponsor	Recommendation
1	Creation of Enhanced Municipal Services Districts as a new type of improvement district not restricted to slum or blight.	Lake Havasu	Recommend for Adoption
2	Revenue Allocation District - any incremental increase in revenue streams above the base could be used by the district to fund public improvements within the district. Allows anticipated revenues to be used to finance components of projects.	Lake Havasu	Recommend for Adoption
3	Encourage the development of commercial and industrial zoned parcels primarily through property tax incentives that support speculative development.	Bullhead City (Tri-City)	Not Recommended
4	Make retention and detention basins eligible for operation and maintenance cost payments through an improvement district.	Yuma	Recommend for Adoption
5	Establish a mechanism enabling local government to create renewable energy and conservation financing districts.	Flagstaff	Recommend for Adoption
6	Stop future sweeps of Highway User Revenue Funds (HURF) allocated to Arizona cities and towns and to restore HURF funding to FY2008 levels.	Yuma	Recommend for Adoption
7	HURF revenue study committee to develop recommendations for new or expanded revenue streams.	Kingman	Recommend for Adoption
8	Authorize municipalities to use a sampling method to determine population estimates and housing vacancy rates for mid-decennial population updates.	Prescott Valley	Not Recommended
9	Restore AZ Housing Trust Fund.	Flagstaff	Significant Municipal Issue
10	Restore the Arizona State Park Heritage Fund.	Sedona	Recommend for Adoption
11	Appropriate \$20 million to the Greater Arizona Development Authority (GADA) infrastructure fund, restoring its original statutory mandate and pre-FY2008 funding level.	Apache Junction	Recommend with Amendments
13	Explore mechanisms to improve public safety pensions that create an economically sustainable retirement system, such as including one representative from both a large city and a small non-metropolitan city on the PSPRS board.	Flagstaff	Recommend with Amendments
14	Make the requirements for annexation a more simple and flexible process.	Yuma	Recommend for Adoption
15	Place reasonable limits on the frequency of requests for public records and on requests that are overbroad or abusive.	Yuma	Not Recommended
16	Ban the use of a cell phone, smart phone or similar data devices with one or both hands while in control as the driver of a motorized vehicle, except in the case of an emergency.	Sedona	Significant Municipal Issue

**League of Arizona Cities & Towns**

***2015 Resolution Submissions***

17	Permanently allow cities and towns to calculate the majority of votes cast for a municipal office based on the total number of votes cast for that office.	Gilbert	Recommend for Adoption
18	Allow the state of Arizona to partner with cities and towns for the operation and maintenance of Arizona State Parks under long-term leases.	Yuma	Not Recommended
19	Reduce the shortage of health care professionals in Arizona, including addressing the issue of residency.	Sierra Vista	Recommend with Amendments
20	Pass legislation or engage in other activities that support and advocate for resources to improve Arizona’s ports of entry with Mexico and related infrastructure.	Douglas	Recommend for Adoption
21	Support the long-term retention of Arizona’s military installations.	Sierra Vista	Recommend for Adoption

***League Staff Recommendations***

1	Support legislation to preclude the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) from requiring cities and towns to completely indemnify ADOT in order to obtain access to certain federal funds.	Recommend for Adoption
2	Support legislation to streamline the implementation of development impact fees including, but not limited to, expansion and clarification of allowable uses, shorter implementation time frames, and reduction of complexity and ambiguity.	Recommend for Adoption

**Key to Committee Recommendations**

**Recommend for Adoption** – Becomes a part of the Municipal Policy Statement, and will help guide legislative activity in the coming session.

**Recommend with Amendments** - Becomes a part of the Municipal Policy Statement, and will help guide legislative activity in the coming session, but needed amending for either content or technical reasons.

#11 was amended to take out the language “insulate the fund from future sweeps.”

#12 was merged into #13, as both related to state retirement systems. Therefore #12 does not show up on this document as a separate resolution.

#19 was amended to add “including the issue of residency.”

**Significant Municipal Issue** – Although an important concept to cities and towns, does not quite rise to the level of legislative activity. League staff may address the issue with state agencies and/or other stakeholders.

**Not Recommended** – The resolution may be too confined to one community, be on its face contrary to core principles, or not in line with current agreements with other stakeholders.